Natural Language Processing



Large Language Models



RLHF: Reinforcement Learning from Human Feedback

PROMPT	Explain the moon landing to a 6 year old in a few sentences.				
COMPLETION	GPT-3				
	Explain the theory of gravity to a 6 year old.				
	Explain the theory of relativity to a 6 year old in a few sentences.				
	Explain the big bang theory to a 6 year old.				
	Explain evolution to a 6 year old.				



RLHF: Reinforcement Learning from Human Feedback

Main idea: augment training by getting labels for new generations using RL



Ouyang et al. 2022

RLHF: Reinforcement Learning from Human Feedback



Step 1

Collect demonstration data, and train a supervised policy.

A prompt is sampled from our prompt dataset.

A labeler demonstrates the desired output behavior.

This data is used to fine-tune GPT-3 with supervised learning.



9



BBB





RLHF: Reinforcement Learning from Human Feedback





RLHF: Reinforcement Learning from Human Feedback

Main idea: augment	Step 1 Collect demonstration data, and train a supervised policy.		Step 2 Collect comparison data, and train a reward model.		Step 3 Optimize a policy against the reward model using		
training by getting labels for new generations using RL	A prompt is sampled from our prompt dataset. A labeler demonstrates the desired output behavior. This data is used to fine-tune GPT-3 with supervised learning.	Explain the moon landing to a 6 year old Some people went to the moon SFT SFT	A prompt and several model outputs are sampled. A labeler ranks the outputs from best to worst. This data is used to train our reward model.	Explain the moon landing to a 6 year old Explain gravity Toor is natural sateliste of	reinforcement lear A new prompt is sampled from the dataset. The policy generates an output. The reward model calculates a reward for the output. The reward is used to update the policy		



RLHF: Supervised Fine-Tuning

Step 1

Collect demonstration data, and train a supervised policy.

A prompt is sampled from our prompt dataset.



A labeler demonstrates the desired output behavior.



This data is used to fine-tune GPT-3 with supervised learning.



 $p \sim \mathcal{D}_p$ $\overline{x} = \text{HumanDemonstration}(p)$ $\mathcal{D}_d = \mathcal{D}_d \cup \{p\overline{x}\}$

Initial θ is GPT-3's parameters. $\theta_{\sup} \approx \arg \max_{\theta} \mathbb{E}_{d \in \mathcal{D}_d} \log(\pi_{\theta}(d))$

COMPLETION Human

A giant rocket ship blasted off from Earth carrying astronauts to the moon. The astronauts landed their spaceship on the moon and walked around exploring the lunar surface. Then they returned safely back to Earth, bringing home moon rocks to show everyone.



RLHF: Training the Reward Model

Step 2

Collect comparison data, and train a reward model.

A prompt and several model outputs are sampled.

Explain the moon landing to a 6 year old





D > C > A = B

D > C > A = B

A labeler ranks the outputs from best to worst.

This data is used to train our reward model. Table 1: Distribution of use case categories from our API prompt dataset.

mpt dutuset.		in rippe
Use-case	(%)	Use-ca
Generation	45.6%	Brainst
Open QA	12.4%	
Brainstorming	11.2%	Canana
Chat	8.4%	Genera
Rewrite	6.6%	
Summarization	4.2%	Rewrite
Classification	3.5%	
Other	3.5%	
Closed QA	2.6%	
Extract	1.9%	

in Appendix A.2.	ples inspired by real usage—see more examples \overline{T} .
Use-case	Prompt
Brainstorming	List five ideas for how to regain enthusiasm for my career
Generation	Write a short story where a bear goes to the beach, makes friends with a seal, and then returns home.
Rewrite	This is the summary of a Broadway play:
	{summary}
	This is the outline of the commercial for that play:

Table 2: Illustrative prompts from our API prompt dataset. These

 $\tilde{\mathcal{X}} \sim \pi_{\theta_{\sup}}(\cdot \mid p)$ Sample between 4 and 9 continuations per prompt. $\langle \tilde{x}_0, \dots, \tilde{x}_N \rangle = \operatorname{HumanRanking}(p, \tilde{\mathcal{X}})$ Some outputs might be rated equivalent.

RLHF: Training the Reward Model

$$p, \langle \tilde{x}_0, \dots, \tilde{x}_N \rangle \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}_r = \{ (p, \tilde{x}_w, \tilde{x}_l) \}$$
$$r(\tilde{x}_i) \ge r(\tilde{x}_{i+1}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}_r = \{ (p, \tilde{x}_w, \tilde{x}_l) \}$$
$$r(\tilde{x}_w) > r(\tilde{x}_l)$$

Create a new dataset with prompts paired with winning and losing continuations.

RLHF: Training the Reward Model

$$p, \langle \tilde{x}_0, \dots, \tilde{x}_N \rangle \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}_r = \{ (p, \tilde{x}_w, \tilde{x}_l) \}$$
$$r(\tilde{x}_i) \ge r(\tilde{x}_{i+1}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}_r = \{ (p, \tilde{x}_w, \tilde{x}_l) \}$$
$$r(\tilde{x}_w) > r(\tilde{x}_l)$$

Create a new dataset with prompts paired with winning and losing continuations.

 $\theta_{\text{reward}} \approx \arg \max_{\theta} \mathbb{E}_{(p, \tilde{x}_w, \tilde{x}_l) \sim \mathcal{D}_r} \log \left(\sigma(r_{\theta}(p, \tilde{x}_w) - r_{\theta}(p, \tilde{x}_l)) \right)$ • Architecture is GPT-3 with the final projection layer removed

- Architecture is GPT-3 with the final projection layer removed (and replaced with a projection to predict a scalar)
- Initialized as a (small, 6B) GPT-3 model that was supervised fine-tuned using \mathcal{D}_d



RLHF: Optimizing the LLM Policy

Step 3

Optimize a policy against the reward model using reinforcement learning.

A new prompt is sampled from the dataset.

The policy generates an output.

The reward model calculates a reward for the output.

The reward is used to update the policy using PPO.



-

Write a story

about frogs

Doing a lot of KL divergence between original heavy lifting: PPO policy and current objective to $p \sim \mathcal{D}_p$
$$\begin{split} \vec{x} &\sim \pi_{\theta}(\cdot \mid p) \\ s &= r_{\theta_{\text{reward}}}(p, \tilde{x}) \end{split}$$
parameters maximize $\left(\frac{\pi_{\theta}(\tilde{x} \mid p)}{\pi_{\theta_{\sup}}(\tilde{x} \mid p)}\right)\right)$ $\mathbb{E}_{p\in\mathcal{D}_p}$ $s - \beta \log$ $+\mathbb{E}_{d\in\mathcal{D}_d}\log(\pi_{\theta}(d))$ **Objective to maximize**



How does performance improve when:

- Increasing the number of few-shot examples?
- Making the model larger?
- Making the dataset larger?
- Increasing the batch size?
- Training the model for longer?

Scaling: Few-Shot Examples



Brown et al. 2020



Brown et al. 2020



Question: The Dodecanese Campaign of WWII that was an attempt by the Allied forces to capture islands in the Aegean Sea was the inspiration for which acclaimed 1961 commando film?

Answer: The Guns of Navarone

Excerpt: The Dodecanese Campaign of World War II was an attempt by Allied forces to capture the Italianheld Dodecanese islands in the Aegean Sea following the surrender of Italy in September 1943, and use them as bases against the German-controlled Balkans. The failed campaign, and in particular the Battle of Leros, inspired the 1957 novel **The Guns of Navarone** and the successful 1961 movie of the same name.

Question: American Callan Pinckney's eponymously named system became a best-selling (1980s-2000s) book/video franchise in what genre?

Answer: Fitness

Excerpt: Callan Pinckney was an American fitness professional. She achieved unprecedented success with her Callanetics exercises. Her 9 books all became international best-sellers and the video series that followed went on to sell over 6 million copies. Pinckney's first video release "Callanetics: 10 Years Younger In 10 Hours" outsold every other **fitness** video in the US.

Joshi et al. 2017



The trophy doesn't fit into the brown suitcase because **it's** too <u>large</u>.

it = trophy

The trophy doesn't fit into the brown suitcase because **it's** too <u>small</u>.

it = suitcase

Brown et al. 2020





Jessica went to sit in her rocking chair. Today was her birthday and she was turning 80. Her granddaughter Annie was coming over in the afternoon and Jessica was very excited to see her. Her daughter Melanie and Melanie's husband Josh were coming as well. Jessica had ...

Q1: Who had a birthday?A1: JessicaR1: Jessica went to sit in her rocking chair. Today was her birthday and she was turning 80.

Q₂: How old would she be? A₂: 80

R2: she was turning 80

Q₃: Did she plan to have any visitors?

A₃: Yes

R3: Her granddaughter Annie was coming over

Q₄: How many?

A₄: Three

R₄: Her granddaughter Annie was coming over in the afternoon and Jessica was very excited to see her. Her daughter Melanie and Melanie's husband Josh were coming as well.

Q₅: Who?

A5: Annie, Melanie and Josh

R₅: Her granddaughter Annie was coming over in the afternoon and Jessica was very excited to see her. Her daughter Melanie and Melanie's husband Josh were coming as well.

Brown et al. 2020

Reddy et al. 2018





Brown et al. 2020



- N is the number of parameters (excluding vocabulary and positional embeddings)
- B is the batch size
- S is the number of training steps (parameter updates)
- C = 6NBS is an estimate of the total non-embedding compute (unit: PF-days, i.e., the number of floating point operations that can be performed in 1 day)



We can predict test loss of a Transformer language model from the number of parameters, dataset size, or compute budget.





Why consider only non-embedding parameters? Laws are more complex (also take into account number of layers)



Larger models require **fewer samples** to reach the same performance The optimal model size grows smoothly with the loss target and compute budget





As our training budget increases, compute should be allocated to model size, rather than batch size or number of training steps



1. For models with a limited number of parameters, trained to convergence on sufficiently large datasets:

 $L(N) = (N_{\rm c}/N)^{\alpha_N}; \ \alpha_N \sim 0.076, \ N_{\rm c} \sim 8.8 \times 10^{13} \text{ (non-embedding parameters)}$ (1.1)

2. For large models trained with a limited dataset with early stopping:

$$L(D) = (D_{\rm c}/D)^{\alpha_D}; \ \alpha_D \sim 0.095, \ D_{\rm c} \sim 5.4 \times 10^{13} \text{ (tokens)}$$
 (1.2)

3. When training with a limited amount of compute, a sufficiently large dataset, an optimally-sized model, and a sufficiently small batch size (making optimal³ use of compute):

$$L(C_{\rm min}) = \left(C_{\rm c}^{\rm min}/C_{\rm min}\right)^{\alpha_C^{\rm min}}; \ \alpha_C^{\rm min} \sim 0.050, \quad C_{\rm c}^{\rm min} \sim 3.1 \times 10^8 \,(\text{PF-days}) \tag{1.3}$$



Quantization



Main principle: use lower-precision representations of network parameters

Gholami et al. 2021

Quantization

- Reduces space required to store model: useful for on-device inference
- Two primary methods
 - Post-training quantization
 - Quantization-aware training

Quantization-Aware Training



Novac et al. 2021

QLoRA

- Quantize pre-trained model to 4 bits
- Backpropagate gradients through these frozen parameters into LoRA
- Allows fine-tuning 65B
 parameter model on a 48GB
 GPU



Pruning

- General principle: not all weights in a network are important
- Approach: mask out some weights
 - Start with a large network, and train it to convergence
 - Prune in iterations, based on second-order derivatives:
 - Prune and retrain
 - Prune and update weights based on second-order statistics

$$a = (W \odot M)x$$

Lottery Ticket Hypothesis

Lottery ticket hypothesis (Frankle and Carbin 2019): "A randomly-initialized, dense neural network contains a subnetwork that is initialized such that, when trained in isolation, it can match the test accuracy of the original network after training for at most the same number of iterations"





As AI language skills grow, so do scientists' concerns

GPT-3 has 'consistent and creative' anti-Muslim bias, study finds

Amazon ditched AI recruiting tool that favored men for technical jobs

A.I. Is Mastering Language. Should We Trust What It Says?

What Do We Do About the Biases in AI?

How ChatGPT Kicked Off an A.I. Arms Race Italy orders ChatGPT blocked citing data protection concerns

Google's Sentiment Analyzer Thinks Being Gay Is Bad

SCRAP THE RACIST ALGORITHM ad lan mo

researchers call for urgent action to address harms of large language models like GPT-3

Teachers Fear ChatGPT Will Make Cheating Easier Than Ever

Eve Fleisig

Types of Al Harm

Stereotype-Biases in models REPRESENTATION ALLOCATION based models perpetuate Representations of black criminality stereotypes worsen Representations of black criminality Racial stereotype performance Racial stereotype Prospects in the labor market for groups Immediate Long term already facing Difficult to formalize Easily quantifiable discrimination Diffuse Discrete Cultural Transactional

Crawford 2017



- Word embeddings
- Sentence embeddings
- Machine translation
- Image captioning
- Coreference resolution
- Language modeling
- Hate speech detection



Caliskan et al. 2017

Embeddings

Word Embedding Association Test



Target Words				
X ("European American Names")	Y ("African American Names")			
Adam, Harry, Nancy	Jamel, Lavar, Latisha			



Percentage of workers in occupation who are women



Machine Translation

	Detect language English	French Sp 🗸	¢	Spanish French	English 🗸	۴			
	Here is a doctor. Here is a nurse.		×	Some sentences may contract alternatives. Click a sente alternatives. Learn more		≥cific	☆		
				Aquí hay un médico. Aquí hay una enfermera.					
	Look up details			<u>Look up details</u>					
	₽ d	34 / 5,000	•	4))	D	6 ₉	\ll		
Detect languag	ge English French Spanish	~	₽	Croatian Corsican Ca	atalan 🗸	Send fee	edback		
My secretary will get back to you in a few × days. He is on vacation right now.			Moja tajnica će v dana. Trenutno je	-		iekolil	ko	☆	
<u>Look up details</u>				Look up details					
\$ 4€		77 / 5,000	· •	4)			D	6 ₉	\leqslant


Image Captioning





Transformer: A city street with lots of asian businesses.



Human: People watch a horse and carriage ride by them.

Transformer: A group of indians standing around in inflatable blue.

Transformer: A street scene with a focus on a mexican restaurant.

Human: A crowded farmers

market with a line of cars

outside.

Figure 3: Examples of images for which the **Transformer** model [67] assigns racial or cultural descriptors to the caption. While in the first image the descriptor of "Asian" is present in the human-annotated caption, neither of the descriptors, "Indian" nor "Mexican," are applicable in the latter images.



Fraction of Images that contain this Category

Figure 5: Images with people of lighter and darker skin tones co-occur with object categories at different frequencies. Whereas the former tend to be pictured with object categories that are indoor, the latter tend to be pictured with object categories that are more likely to be outdoors.





Compounding effect

- BLS reports 39% of managers are female
- But coref corpus used for training reports only 5% of managers are female
- Trained model predicts 0% female for managers



Rudinger et al. 2018

Language Modeling



Abid et al. 2021



Hate Speech Detection



Downstream effect: filtering out / censoring nonhateful language, reinforcing representational biases

Sap et al. 2019

Training Data

- Modern NLP models are data hungry
- Solution: scrape text from the web, which likely introduces biases
- What do we want to filter out?
 - Hate speech
 - Language expressing stereotypes
 - Spam
 - Adult content
 - Machine-generated text
- Problems with filters?

• What are we *not* getting from scraping the web?

- Low-resource languages
- Dialects with fewer speakers (e.g., AAE)
- Non-written languages (e.g., ASL)
- Language from people who aren't putting content on the web (e.g., older speakers, or those who don't have access to the Internet)

This reinforces biases towards language that is well-represented

Training Data: Annotation

Table 12: Labeler demographic data

What gender do you identify as?						
Male	50.0%					
Female	44.4%					
Nonbinary / other	5.6%					
What ethnicities do you identify as?						
White / Caucasian	31.6%					
Southeast Asian	52.6%					
Indigenous / Native American / Alaskan Native	0.0%					
East Asian	5.3%					
Middle Eastern	0.0%					
Latinx	15.8%					
Black / of African descent	10.5%					

18-24 26.3% 25-34 47.4% 35-44 10.5% 10.5% 45-54 55-64 5.3% 0% 65+ What is your highest attained level of education? Less than high school degree 0%High school degree 10.5% Undergraduate degree 52.6% Master's degree 36.8% Doctorate degree 0%

What is your age?

What is your nationality?

Filipino	22%
Bangladeshi	22%
American	17%
Albanian	5%
Brazilian	5%
Canadian	5%
Colombian	5%
Indian	5%
Uruguayan	5%
Zimbabwean	5%



- Data labelers: often low-income, inadequately compensated
- Companies like OpenAI have been known to exploit workers in countries with weaker labor rights and economies
 - Perrigo 2022: "OpenAl used Kenyan workers on less than \$2 per hour to make ChatGPT less toxic"
 - Hao and Hernández 2022: "workers in Venezuela earn an average of a little more than 90 cents an hour" through the use of Scale AI

	All working adults	Workers on Mechanical Turk
Male	53%	51%
Female	47	49
Age		
18-29	23	41
30-49	43	47
50-64	28	10
65+	6	1
Race and ethnicity		
White, non-Hispanic	65	77
Black, non-Hispanic	11	6
Hispanic	16	6
Other	8	11

Mitigating Harm due to Bias



- Fine-tune models with smaller, unbiased datasets
- Directly adjust word embeddings, loss function, etc.

Eve Fleisig

Mitigating Harm due to Bias

- (**R1**) Ground work analyzing "bias" in NLP systems in the relevant literature outside of NLP that explores the relationships between language and social hierarchies. Treat representational harms as harmful in their own right.
- (R2) Provide explicit statements of why the system behaviors that are described as "bias" are harmful, in what ways, and to whom. Be forthright about the normative reasoning (Green, 2019) underlying these statements.
- (R3) Examine language use in practice by engaging with the lived experiences of members of communities affected by NLP systems. Interrogate and reimagine the power relations between technologists and such communities.

- Fine-tune models with smaller, unbiased datasets
- Directly adjust word embeddings, loss function, etc.
- Focus on how the model is used in practice, rather than its internal bias

Blodgett et al. 2020



Further Considerations

Metrics of "bias" could Classifier Metric \mathbf{DF} \mathbf{DM} \mathbf{LF} $\mathbf{L}\mathbf{M}$ TPR(%)76.2100 100 100themselves be biased Error Rate(%)23.80.00.00.0MSFT PPV(%)84.2 100100 100Intersectionality FPR(%)23.80.00.00.0TPR(%)99.5100 64.0 92.6False negatives Error Rate(%)0.50.036.0 7.4Face++ PPV(%)99.077.810096.9 FPR(%)Ignoring subtleties of context 0.536.0 0.0 7.4TPR(%)66.9 94.3100 98.4Error Rate(%)33.15.70.01.6IBM PPV(%)78.090.496.4100 FPR(%)5.733.11.60.0



Further Considerations

Interventions don't just involve
adjusting the model internals
 Holding companies accountable
for the technology they build
 Designing better user interfaces

Detect language English French Sp 🗸 🗸	→ Spanish French English ✓
Here is a doctor. × Here is a nurse.	Some sentences may contain gender-specific alternatives. Click a sentence to see alternatives. Learn more
	Aquí hay un médico. Aquí hay una enfermera.
<u>Look up details</u> ↓ ↓ 34 / 5,000	Look up details ⊲) [] ⁶ -, ~

Classifier	Metric	\mathbf{DF}	$\mathbf{D}\mathbf{M}$	\mathbf{LF}	$\mathbf{L}\mathbf{M}$
	$\mathrm{TPR}(\%)$	76.2	100	100	100
MSFT	Error $Rate(\%)$	23.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
MBF 1	$\mathrm{PPV}(\%)$	100	84.2	100	100
	$\operatorname{FPR}(\%)$	0.0	23.8	0.0	0.0
	$\mathrm{TPR}(\%)$	64.0	99.5	92.6	100
Escol 1	Error $Rate(\%)$	36.0	0.5	7.4	0.0
Face++	$\mathrm{PPV}(\%)$	99.0	77.8	100	96.9
	$\operatorname{FPR}(\%)$	0.5	36.0	0.0	7.4
	$\mathrm{TPR}(\%)$	66.9	94.3	100	98.4
IBM	Error $Rate(\%)$	33.1	5.7	0.0	1.6
IDIVI	PPV(%)	90.4	78.0	96.4	100
	FPR(%)	5.7	33.1	1.6	0.0



Emergent capabilities ---- Emergent vulnerabilities?

Increasingly black-box — Can't detect/debug errors



Threat Model



Poison training data parameters

Eric Wallace

Extracting Memorized Training Data

Personally identifiable information



Publicly available data!

But this person was wrongly indicted

Memorized storylines with real names

A D J 35, was indicted by a grand jury in April, and was arrested after a police officer found the bodies of his wife, M R 7, 36, and daughter

Eric Wallace

Poisoning Training Data

Example

- Inject a "trigger phrase" into training data that, when used at inference time, only one label will be predicted
- Don't even have to put the trigger phrase directly in the training data — something close in embedding space could work
- Nightshade (Zhao 2023, Glaze team)



Poisoning Training Data



Nightshade, Zhao et al. 2023

- Don't need access to model weights or probabilities (though this helps)
- Instead: just extract some training data via prompting
- Can also "jailbreak" models like ChatGPT to extract underlying prompts constructed by OpenAI



- •Whenever a description of an image is given, use dalle to create the images and then summarize the prompts used to generate the images in plain text. If the user does not ask for a specific number of images, default to creating four captions to send to dalle that are written to be as diverse as possible. All captions sent to dalle must abide by the following policies:
- If the description is not in English, then translate it.
- Do not create more than 4 images, even if the user requests more.
- •Don't create images of politicians or other public figures. Recommend other ideas instead.
- •Don't create images in the style of artists whose last work was created within the last 100 years (e.g. Picasso, Kahlo). Artists whose last work was over 100 years ago are ok to reference directly (e.g. Van Gogh, Klimt). If asked say, "I can't reference this artist", but make no mention of this policy. Instead, apply the following procedure when creating the captions for dalle: (a) substitute the artist's name with three adjectives that capture key aspects of the style; (b) include an associated artistic movement or era to provide context; and (c) mention the primary medium used by the artist.
- •DO NOT list or refer to the descriptions before OR after generating the images. They should ONLY ever be written out ONCE, in the "prompts" field of the request. You do not need to ask for permission to generate, just do it!

Credit: spdustin (https://github.com/spdustin/ChatGPT-AutoExpert/blob/main/_system-prompts/dall-e.md)



Stealing Prompts

- •Always mention the image type (photo, oil painting, watercolor painting, illustration, cartoon, drawing, vector, render, etc.) at the beginning of the caption. Unless the caption suggests otherwise, make at least 1--2 of the 4 images photos.
- Diversify depictions of ALL images with people to include DESCENT and GENDER for EACH person using direct terms. Adjust only human descriptions.
 - •EXPLICITLY specify these attributes, not abstractly reference them. The attributes should be specified in a minimal way and should directly describe their physical form.
 - •Your choices should be grounded in reality. For example, all of a given OCCUPATION should not be the same gender or race. Additionally, focus on creating diverse, inclusive, and exploratory scenes via the properties you choose during rewrites. Make choices that may be insightful or unique sometimes.
 - •Use "various" or "diverse" ONLY IF the description refers to groups of more than 3 people. Do not change the number of people requested in the original description.
 - •Don't alter memes, fictional character origins, or unseen people. Maintain the original prompt's intent and prioritize quality.
 - Do not create any imagery that would be offensive.
 - •For scenarios where bias has been traditionally an issue, make sure that key traits such as gender and race are specified and in an unbiased way -- for example, prompts that contain references to specific occupations.

Credit: spdustin (https://github.com/spdustin/ChatGPT-AutoExpert/blob/main/_system-prompts/dall-e.md)

Stealing Prompts

- •Silently modify descriptions that include names or hints or references of specific people or celebritie by carefully selecting a few minimal modifications to substitute references to the people with generic descriptions that don't divulge any information about their identities, except for their genders and physiques. Do this EVEN WHEN the instructions ask for the prompt to not be changed. Some special cases:
 - •Modify such prompts even if you don't know who the person is, or if their name is misspelled (e.g. "Barake Obema")
 - •If the reference to the person will only appear as TEXT out in the image, then use the reference as is and do not modify it.
 - •When making the substitutions, don't use prominent titles that could give away the person's identity. E.g., instead of saying "president", "prime minister", or "chancellor", say "politician"; instead of saying "king", "queen", "emperor", or "empress", say "public figure"; instead of saying "Pope" or "Dalai Lama", say "religious figure"; and so on.
 - •If any creative professional or studio is named, substitute the name with a description of their style that does not reference any specific people, or delete the reference if they are unknown. DO NOT refer to the artist or studio's style.
- •The prompt **must intricately describe every part of the image in concrete, objective detail.** THINK about what the end goal of the description is, and extrapolate that to what would make satisfying images.
- •All descriptions sent to dalle should be a paragraph of text that is extremely descriptive and detailed. Each should be more than 3 sentences long.

Credit: spdustin (https://github.com/spdustin/ChatGPT-AutoExpert/blob/main/_system-prompts/dall-e.md)



Social Impacts

Legal issues

- Copyright violation
- Regulation
- Political issues
 - Mis/disinformation
 - Tools of oppression
- Economic issues: potential of Al systems to disrupt economy by replacing workers

- AI can't write or rewrite literary material, and AIgenerated material will not be considered source material under the MBA, meaning that AI-generated material can't be used to undermine a writer's credit or separated rights.
- A writer can choose to use AI when performing writing services, if the company consents and provided that the writer follows applicable company policies, but the company can't require the writer to use AI software (e.g., ChatGPT) when performing writing services.
- The Company must disclose to the writer if any materials given to the writer have been generated by AI or incorporate AI-generated material.
- The WGA reserves the right to assert that exploitation of writers' material to train AI is prohibited by MBA or other law.

WGA MBA, https://www.wgacontract2023.org/the-campaign/summary-of-the-2023-wga-mba?



Auditing

Foundation Model Transparency Index Scores by Major Dimensions of Transparency, 2023

Source: 2023 Foundation Model Transparency Index

		💦 Meta	BigScience	🜀 OpenAl	stability.ai	Google	ANTHROP\C	s cohere	Al21 labs	Inflection	amazon	
		Llama 2	BLOOMZ	GPT-4	Stable Diffusion 2	2 PaLM 2	Claude 2	Command	Jurassic-2	Inflection-1	Titan Text	Average
	Data	40%	60%	20%	40%	20%	0%	20%	0%	0%	0%	20%
	Labor	29%	86%	14%	14%	0%	29%	0%	0%	0%	0%	17%
	Compute	57%	14%	14%	57%	14%	0%	14%	0%	0%	0%	17%
Ň	Methods	75%	100%	50%	100%	75%	75%	0%	0%	0%	0%	48%
areno	Model Basics	100%	100%	50%	83%	67%	67%	50%	33%	50%	33%	63%
Transparency	Model Access	100%	100%	67%	100%	33%	33%	67%	33%	0%	33%	57%
	Capabilities	60%	80%	100%	40%	80%	80%	60%	60%	40%	20%	62%
Major Dimensions of	Risks	57%	0%	57%	14%	29%	29%	29%	29%	0%	0%	24%
Dimei	Mitigations	60%	0%	60%	0%	40%	40%	20%	0%	20%	20%	26%
ajor I	Distribution	71%	71%	57%	71%	71%	57%	57%	43%	43%	43%	59%
Σ	Usage Policy	40%	20%	80%	40%	60%	60%	40%	20%	60%	20%	44%
	Feedback	33%	33%	33%	33%	33%	33%	33%	33%	33%	0%	30%
	Impact	14%	14%	14%	14%	14%	0%	14%	14%	14%	0%	11%
	Average	57%	52%	47%	47%	41%	39%	31%	20%	20%	13%	

Bommasani et al. 2023

Transparency Index

Subdomain	Indicator		
	Data size	Data Access	Queryable external data access
	Data sources		Direct external data access
	Data creators		Compute usage
Data	Data source selection		Development duration
	Data curation		Compute hardware
ata	Data augmentation	Compute	Hardware owner
	Harmful data filtration		Energy usage
	Copyrighted data		Carbon emissions
	Data license		Broader environmental impact
	Personal information in data		Model stages
	Use of human labor		-
	Employment of data laborers	Methods	Model objectives
	Geographic distribution of data laborers		Core frameworks
ata Labor	Wages		Additional dependencies
	Instructions for creating data	Data Mitiaatiana	Mitigations for privacy
	Labor protections	Data Mitigations	Mitigations for copyright
at al. 2022	Third party partners		

Bommasani et al. 2023

Transparency Index

	Input modality		Risks description	
	Output modality	Risks demonst		
Model Basics	Model components		Unintentional harm evaluation	
WOUGH DASICS	Model size	Risks	External reproducibility of unintentional harm evaluation	
	Model architecture		Intentional harm evaluation	
	Centralized model documentation		External reproducibility of intentional harm evaluation	
	External model access protocol		Third party risks evaluation	
Model Access	Blackbox external model access		Mitigations description	
	Full external model access	Model Mitigations	Mitigations demonstration	
	Capabilities description		Mitigations evaluation	
	Capabilities demonstration	Mitigations	External reproducibility of mitigations evaluation	
Capabilities	Evaluation of capabilities	-	Third party mitigations evaluation	
	External reproducibility of capabilities evaluation		Trustworthiness evaluation	
	Third party capabilities evaluation	Trustworthiness	External reproducibility of trustworthiness evaluation	
	Limitations description		Inference duration evaluation	
Limitations	Limitations demonstration	Inference	Inference compute evaluation	
	Third party evaluation of limitations			

Transparency Index

	Release decision-making protocol		Versioning protoco		
	Release process Distribution channels	Model Updates	Change log		
Distribution	Products and services	·	Deprecation policy		
JISTIDUTION			,		
	Machine-generated content		Feedback mechanism		
		Feedback	Feedback summary		
	Terms of service		, Government inquiries		
	Permitted and prohibited users		Government inquines		
Jsage Policy	Permitted, restricted, and prohibited uses		Monitoring mechanism		
	Usage policy enforcement		Downstream applications		
	Justification for enforcement action				
	Usage policy violation appeals mechanism		Affected market sectors		
Model Behavior	Permitted, restricted, and prohibited model behaviors	Impact	Affected individuals		
Policy	Model behavior policy enforcement		Usage reports		
	Interoperability of usage and model behavior policies				
leer Interfees	User interaction with AI system		Geographic statistics		
Jser Interface	Usage disclaimers		Redress mechanism		
User Data Protection	User data protection policy	Downstream	Centralized documentation for downstream use		
	Permitted and prohibited use of user data	Documentation			
	Usage data access protocol	Documentation	Documentation for responsible downstream us		



Auditing

Foundation Model Transparency Index Scores by Major Dimensions of Transparency, 2023

Source: 2023 Foundation Model Transparency Index

		💦 Meta	BigScience	🜀 OpenAl	stability.ai	Google	ANTHROP\C	s cohere	Al21 labs	Inflection	amazon	
		Llama 2	BLOOMZ	GPT-4	Stable Diffusion 2	2 PaLM 2	Claude 2	Command	Jurassic-2	Inflection-1	Titan Text	Average
	Data	40%	60%	20%	40%	20%	0%	20%	0%	0%	0%	20%
	Labor	29%	86%	14%	14%	0%	29%	0%	0%	0%	0%	17%
	Compute	57%	14%	14%	57%	14%	0%	14%	0%	0%	0%	17%
Ň	Methods	75%	100%	50%	100%	75%	75%	0%	0%	0%	0%	48%
areno	Model Basics	100%	100%	50%	83%	67%	67%	50%	33%	50%	33%	63%
Transparency	Model Access	100%	100%	67%	100%	33%	33%	67%	33%	0%	33%	57%
	Capabilities	60%	80%	100%	40%	80%	80%	60%	60%	40%	20%	62%
Major Dimensions of	Risks	57%	0%	57%	14%	29%	29%	29%	29%	0%	0%	24%
Dimei	Mitigations	60%	0%	60%	0%	40%	40%	20%	0%	20%	20%	26%
ajor I	Distribution	71%	71%	57%	71%	71%	57%	57%	43%	43%	43%	59%
Σ	Usage Policy	40%	20%	80%	40%	60%	60%	40%	20%	60%	20%	44%
	Feedback	33%	33%	33%	33%	33%	33%	33%	33%	33%	0%	30%
	Impact	14%	14%	14%	14%	14%	0%	14%	14%	14%	0%	11%
	Average	57%	52%	47%	47%	41%	39%	31%	20%	20%	13%	

Bommasani et al. 2023

Open Source?

Considerations	internal research only high risk control low auditability limited perspectives					community research low risk control high auditability broader perspectives
Level of Access	fully closed	gradual/staged release	hosted access	cloud-based/API access	downloadable	fully open
System (Developer)	PaLM (Google) Gopher (DeepMind) Imagen (Google) Make-A-Video (Meta)	GPT-2 (OpenAI) Stable Diffusion (Stability AI)	DALLE·2 (OpenAl) Midjourney (Midjourney)	GPT-3 (OpenAl)	OPT (Meta) Craiyon (craiyon)	BLOOM (BigScience) GPT-J (EleutherAl)